



For Release: Wednesday, July 31, 2019

19-1410-SAN

WESTERN INFORMATION OFFICE: San Francisco, Calif.

Technical information: (415) 625-2270 BLSinfoSF@bls.gov www.bls.gov/regions/west

Media contact: (415) 625-2270

County Employment and Wages in California – Fourth Quarter 2018 Job growth in 15 of the state's large counties exceeded the national rate of 1.5 percent

Employment increased in 28 of the 29 large counties in California from December 2017 to December 2018, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. (Large counties are those with 2017 annual average employment levels of 75,000 or more.) Assistant Commissioner for Regional Operations Richard Holden noted that the annual rates of job growth in 15 large counties in California exceeded the national rate of 1.5 percent in December 2018. San Francisco County had the largest increase in employment at 3.8 percent, followed by Kern County at 3.6 percent. The only large county with an employment decline was Butte (-0.9 percent).

Nationally, 296 of the 349 largest U.S. counties registered increases from December 2017 to December 2018. Midland, TX, recorded the largest percentage increase in the country, up 10.0 percent over the year. Bay, FL, experienced the largest over-the-year percentage decrease in employment, with a loss of 5.6 percent.

Among the 29 largest counties in California, employment was highest in Los Angeles County (4,515,900) in December 2018, while Napa County had the smallest employment level (76,300). Together, California's large counties accounted for 94.2 percent of total employment within the state. Nationwide, the 349 largest counties made up 73.2 percent of total U.S. employment.

From the fourth quarter of 2017 to the fourth quarter of 2018, average weekly wages increased in all but one of California's large counties. Nationally, average weekly wages advanced 3.2 percent. (See table 1.)

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 29 counties in California with employment below 75,000. All of these smaller counties had average weekly wages below the national average of \$1,144 in the fourth quarter of 2018. (See table 2).

Large county wage changes

As noted, average weekly wages in 28 large California counties increased from the fourth quarter of 2017 to the fourth quarter of 2018. San Francisco County's 10.4-percent wage increase was the largest in the state and ranked seventh among the 349 large U.S. counties. Seven other counties' wage gains in the state ranked in the top quarter nationally. The only county with a wage decline in the state was San Mateo, down 1.1 percent. (See table 1.)

Nationally, 332 of the 349 largest counties had over-the-year increases in average weekly wages. Tippecanoe, IN, had the largest over-the-year wage gain at 15.1 percent, followed by Williamson, TN (13.1 percent) and Olmsted, MN (13.0 percent).

Of the 349 largest U.S. counties, 15 had over-the-year wage decreases. Washington, PA, had the largest percentage decrease in average weekly wages (-6.6 percent), followed by Elkhart, IN (-5.0 percent).

Large county average weekly wages

Nationwide, average weekly wages were above the U.S. average (\$1,144) in 94 of the 349 largest counties in the fourth quarter of 2018. In California, wages in 13 of the 29 large counties exceeded the national average during the same period. Three California counties held the top positions in the nation: Santa Clara (\$2,670, 1st), San Francisco (\$2,452, 2nd), and San Mateo (\$2,410, 3rd).

Among the largest U.S. counties, 255 had weekly wages below the national average in the fourth quarter of 2018. Hidalgo, TX (\$680) reported the lowest wage, followed by the counties of Cameron, TX, and Horry, SC (\$685 each).

The lowest average weekly wages in California counties were Tulare (\$813, 333rd), Merced (\$837, 329th), and Butte (\$869, 313th), all within the bottom fifth of the national ranking.

Average weekly wages in California's smaller counties

All 29 counties in California with employment below 75,000 had average weekly wages lower than the national average of \$1,144. Among these smaller counties, Yuba had the highest average weekly wage at \$1,033 in the fourth quarter of 2018, while Alpine (\$647) had the lowest weekly wage. (See table 2.)

When all 58 counties in California were considered, 7 had wages of \$799 or lower. Eighteen counties had average weekly wages ranging from \$800 to \$899, 13 had wages from \$900 to \$999, and 20 had wages at or above \$1,000. (See chart 1.)

Additional statistics and other information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in table 3. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the Technical Note or visit www.bls.gov/cew.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2017 edition of this publication contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2018 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages 2017 are now available online at https://www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn17.htm. The 2018 edition of Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online will be available in September 2019.

The County Employment and Wages release for first quarter 2019 is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, August 21, 2019. The County Employment and Wages full data update for first quarter 2019 is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, September 4, 2019.

The BLS Local Data App Now Available for Android Devices

The BLS Local Data app, first released for iPhones last fall, is now available for Android devices. Search using your current location, a zip code, or a location name to find employment and wage data for detailed industries and occupations. BLS continues to partner with the U.S. Department of Labor's Office of the Chief Information Officer to expand the features and data in the app. For more information please visit: https://beta.bls.gov/labs/blogs/2019/04/17/bls-local-data-app-now-available-for-android-devices/.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service: (800) 877-8339.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the United States and the 29 largest counties in California, fourth quarter 2018

December 2018 Percent change, placember 2018 Percent change, observable (housands) Percent change (s) Pe		Employment			Average weekly wage (1)			
California 17,556.7 1.7 - 1,392 4 3.3 26 Alameda, Calif. 793.0 1.5 134 1,511 15 4.2 80 Butte, Calif. 82.8 0.9 340 869 313 5.5 34 Contra Costa, Calif. 370.1 0.1 282 1,387 28 3.4 137 Fresno, Calif. 392.0 3.1 24 904 291 1.7 279 Kern, Calif. 326.1 3.6 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 166 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 76.3 <td>Area</td> <td>2018</td> <td>change, December</td> <td>ranking by percent</td> <td>weekly</td> <td>ranking by</td> <td>change, fourth quarter</td> <td>ranking by percent</td>	Area	2018	change, December	ranking by percent	weekly	ranking by	change, fourth quarter	ranking by percent
Alameda, Calif. 793.0 1.5 134 1,511 15 4.2 80 Butte, Calif. 82.8 -0.9 340 869 313 5.5 34 Contra Costa, Calif. 370.1 0.1 282 1,387 28 3.4 137 Fresno, Calif. 392.0 3.1 24 904 291 1.7 279 Kern, Calif. 326.1 3.6 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. </td <td>United States (4)</td> <td>148,061.8</td> <td>1.5</td> <td></td> <td>\$1,144</td> <td></td> <td>3.2</td> <td></td>	United States (4)	148,061.8	1.5		\$1,144		3.2	
Butte, Calif. 82.8 -0.9 340 869 313 5.5 34 Contra Costa, Calif. 370.1 0.1 282 1,387 28 3.4 137 Fresno, Calif. 392.0 3.1 24 904 291 1.7 279 Kern, Calif. 326.1 36. 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif.	California	17,556.7	1.7		1,392	4	3.3	26
Contra Costa, Calif. 370.1 0.1 282 1,387 28 3.4 137 Fresno, Calif. 392.0 3.1 24 904 291 1.7 279 Kern, Calif. 326.1 3.6 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 770.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside,	Alameda, Calif	793.0	1.5	134	1,511	15	4.2	80
Fresno, Calif. 392.0 3.1 24 904 291 1.7 279 Kern, Calif. 326.1 3.6 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Cali	Butte, Calif	82.8	-0.9	340	869	313	5.5	34
Kern, Calif. 326.1 3.6 14 923 276 3.6 113 Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 San Bernardino, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 San Dieg	Contra Costa, Calif	370.1	0.1	282	1,387	28	3.4	137
Los Angeles, Calif. 4,515.9 1.2 161 1,380 30 2.1 249 Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 S	Fresno, Calif	392.0	3.1	24	904	291	1.7	279
Marin, Calif. 116.7 0.6 227 1,466 19 4.2 80 Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 1,70.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San	Kern, Calif	326.1	3.6	14	923	276	3.6	113
Merced, Calif. 79.6 0.4 251 837 329 2.6 210 Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Jaoquin, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7	Los Angeles, Calif	4,515.9	1.2	161	1,380	30	2.1	249
Monterey, Calif. 178.2 1.7 114 966 230 1.7 279 Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89	Marin, Calif	116.7	0.6	227	1,466	19	4.2	80
Napa, Calif. 76.3 2.0 81 1,158 84 3.5 128 Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 <tr< td=""><td>Merced, Calif</td><td>79.6</td><td>0.4</td><td>251</td><td>837</td><td>329</td><td>2.6</td><td>210</td></tr<>	Merced, Calif	79.6	0.4	251	837	329	2.6	210
Orange, Calif. 1,647.4 0.8 198 1,251 58 0.6 320 Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341	Monterey, Calif	178.2	1.7	114	966	230	1.7	279
Placer, Calif. 170.1 2.6 41 1,120 107 1.4 296 Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Clara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 <td>Napa, Calif</td> <td>76.3</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>81</td> <td>1,158</td> <td>84</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>128</td>	Napa, Calif	76.3	2.0	81	1,158	84	3.5	128
Riverside, Calif. 750.5 2.1 69 883 306 1.1 310 Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Cruz, Calif. 10.1 0.6 227 1,023 181	Orange, Calif	1,647.4	0.8	198	1,251	58	0.6	320
Sacramento, Calif. 672.6 2.2 60 1,208 68 2.9 187 San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31	Placer, Calif	170.1	2.6	41	1,120	107	1.4	296
San Bernardino, Calif. 773.0 2.2 60 934 262 3.0 176 San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 10.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 <td>Riverside, Calif</td> <td>750.5</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>69</td> <td>883</td> <td>306</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>310</td>	Riverside, Calif	750.5	2.1	69	883	306	1.1	310
San Diego, Calif. 1,485.8 1.6 126 1,260 55 3.2 155 San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Cruz, Calif. 10.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 <t< td=""><td>Sacramento, Calif</td><td>672.6</td><td>2.2</td><td>60</td><td>1,208</td><td>68</td><td>2.9</td><td>187</td></t<>	Sacramento, Calif	672.6	2.2	60	1,208	68	2.9	187
San Francisco, Calif. 759.6 3.8 11 2,452 2 10.4 7 San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 80.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 <td>San Bernardino, Calif</td> <td>773.0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>60</td> <td>934</td> <td>262</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>176</td>	San Bernardino, Calif	773.0	2.2	60	934	262	3.0	176
San Joaquin, Calif. 255.0 1.3 149 961 235 4.0 89 San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 30.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	San Diego, Calif	1,485.8	1.6	126	1,260	55	3.2	155
San Luis Obispo, Calif. 117.3 1.8 100 976 224 4.6 65 San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 30.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	San Francisco, Calif	759.6	3.8	11	2,452	2	10.4	7
San Mateo, Calif. 412.5 2.0 81 2,410 3 -1.1 341 Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	San Joaquin, Calif	255.0	1.3	149	961	235	4.0	89
Santa Barbara, Calif. 197.8 1.3 149 1,111 113 4.5 69 Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	San Luis Obispo, Calif	117.3	1.8	100	976	224	4.6	65
Santa Clara, Calif. 1,118.3 2.3 54 2,670 1 4.7 62 Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	San Mateo, Calif	412.5	2.0	81	2,410	3	-1.1	341
Santa Cruz, Calif. 101.1 0.6 227 1,023 181 5.7 31 Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	Santa Barbara, Calif	197.8	1.3	149	1,111	113	4.5	69
Solano, Calif. 143.4 1.2 161 1,153 87 3.3 146 Sonoma, Calif. 211.6 1.4 139 1,122 105 5.1 51 Stanislaus, Calif. 188.4 0.8 198 943 254 3.1 164 Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	Santa Clara, Calif	1,118.3	2.3	54	2,670	1	4.7	62
Sonoma, Calif	Santa Cruz, Calif	101.1	0.6	227	1,023	181	5.7	31
Stanislaus, Calif	Solano, Calif	143.4	1.2	161	1,153	87	3.3	146
Tulare, Calif. 162.8 1.9 86 813 333 0.1 332 Ventura, Calif. 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	Sonoma, Calif	211.6	1.4	139	1,122	105	5.1	51
Ventura, Calif 330.2 0.5 242 1,102 120 2.4 227	Stanislaus, Calif	188.4	0.8	198	943	254	3.1	164
	Tulare, Calif	162.8	1.9	86	813	333	0.1	332
Yolo, Calif	Ventura, Calif	330.2	0.5	242	1,102	120	2.4	227
	Yolo, Calif	104.0	2.0	81	1,204	71	3.6	113

(4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.

⁽³⁾ Ranking does not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in California, fourth quarter 2018

Area	Employment December 2018	Average Weekly Wage(1)
ited States(2)	148,061,773	\$1,1
California	17,556,654	1,3
Alameda	792,968	1,5
Alpine		6-
Amador	12,278	9
Butte	82,781	8
Calaveras	9,724	8:
Colusa	· 1	9
Contra Costa		1,3
Del Norte	7,963	7
El Dorado		1,0
Fresno		9
Glenn	9,142	8
Humboldt	51,124	8
Imperial		7
Inyo	1	8
Kern	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9
Kings	1	8
Lake	·	7
Lassen	9,844	g
Los Angeles	4,515,898	1,3
Madera	50,906	8
Marin		1,4
Mariposa	5,317	8
Mendocino	32,518	7
Merced	79,605	8
Modoc	2,350	7
Mono	7,691	7
Monterey		9
Napa		1,1
Nevada		9
Orange		1,2
Placer		1,1
Plumas		•
	6,142	3
Riverside	· 1	3
Sacramento	672,611	1,2
San Benito	· 1	9
San Bernardino	·	g
San Diego	1	1,2
San Francisco	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,4
San Joaquin	255,034	g
San Luis Obispo	117,300	S
San Mateo	412,452	2,4
Santa Barbara	197,802	1,1
Santa Clara	1,118,270	2,6
Santa Cruz		1,0
Shasta	67,052	8
Sierra		8
Siskiyou		8
Solano		1,1
Sonoma		1,1
Stanislaus	· 1	ι,
	1	
Sutter		3
Tehama	1	3
Trinity		

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in California, fourth quarter 2018 - Continued

Area	Employment December 2018	Average Weekly Wage(1)	
Tuolumne	17,735	883	
Ventura	330,221	1,102	
Yolo	103,963	1,204	
Yuba	18,148	1,033	

Footnotes

NOTE: Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs. Data are preliminary.

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, fourth quarter 2018

	Emplo	yment	Average weekly wage (1)			
State	December 2018 (thousands)	Percent change, December 2017-18	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, fourth quarter 2017-18	National ranking by percent change
Jnited States (2)	148,061.8	1.5	\$1,144		3.2	
Alabama	1,986.6	1.6	957	36	3.1	33
Alaska	308.3	0.4	1,103	16	4.9	7
Arizona	2,921.1	3.0	1,017	24	4.1	11
Arkansas	1,227.0	0.8	869	50	2.4	43
California	17,556.7	1.7	1,392	4	3.3	26
Colorado	2,713.7	2.2	1,180	10	4.1	11
Connecticut	1,697.9	0.5	1,334	5	1.3	49
Delaware	451.2	1.1	1,107	15	2.4	43
District of Columbia	775.1	0.6	1,943	1	7.3	2
Florida	8,902.7	2.1	1,006	27	3.1	33
Georgia	4,499.8	1.8	1,053	21	2.4	43
Hawaii	669.3	0.6	1,016	25	3.3	26
Idaho	734.4	3.2	890	47	3.6	20
Illinois	6,026.0	0.3	1,189	9	3.3	26
Indiana	3,086.2	0.3	941	38	2.8	37
				35		35
lowa	1,558.4	0.5	966		3.0	
Kansas	1,402.2	0.8	927	41	3.7	18
Kentucky	1,914.0	0.3	924	42	3.2	30
Louisiana	1,934.1	0.7	968	34	3.8	15
Maine	618.4	1.3	906	44	2.5	41
Maryland	2,702.5	0.8	1,228	8	1.7	48
Massachusetts	3,620.3	1.0	1,457	2	3.3	26
Michigan	4,366.5	1.0	1,077	19	1.3	49
Minnesota	2,902.3	0.9	1,140	14	3.6	20
Mississippi	1,144.3	0.2	793	51	2.5	41
Missouri	2,821.3	0.5	980	31	3.6	20
Montana	468.8	1.6	888	48	5.2	5
Nebraska	983.0	0.2	930	40	3.2	30
Nevada	1,397.4	3.3	1,006	27	5.3	4
New Hampshire	666.0	0.7	1,158	12	2.3	46
New Jersey	4,125.6	0.8	1,298	6	2.7	38
New Mexico	830.2	1.5	905	45	4.6	9
New York	9,613.2	1.5	1,445	3	1.0	51
North Carolina	4,458.9	1.6	1,013	26	5.1	6
North Dakota	422.3	1.5	1,057	20	4.7	8
Ohio	5,442.9	0.5	1,006	27	3.4	24
Oklahoma	1,632.3	1.5	932	39	4.1	_ · 11
Oregon	1,935.8	1.7	1,052	22	3.7	18
Pennsylvania	5,932.5	1.0	1,103	16	2.6	39
Rhode Island	487.2	0.8	1,085	18	2.6	39
	2,119.6	2.8	893			47
South Carolina	1 ' 1			46	1.9	
South Dakota	428.4	1.2	885	49	3.4	24
Tennessee	3,039.8	1.8	1,030	23	3.0	35
Texas	12,531.7	2.5	1,148	13	3.5	23
Utah	1,511.5	3.2	972	33	3.8	15
Vermont	314.2	-0.4	954	37	3.2	30
Virginia	3,927.2	1.1	1,164	11	3.8	15
Washington	3,384.2	2.4	1,292	7	6.3	3
West Virginia	704.2	1.5	917	43	8.3	1
Wisconsin	2,892.3	0.6	989	30	4.0	14
Wyoming	272.1	1.8	978	32	4.4	10
Puerto Rico	896.4	0.8	576	(3)	0.9	(3)
Virgin Islands	34.5	0.5	925	(3)	2.3	(3)

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

(3) Data not included in the national ranking.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in California, fourth quarter 2018

